

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/754,034	GOUGH ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Shean C Wu	<b>Art Unit</b> 1756

**All Participants:**

(1) Shean C Wu.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Susan Doughty.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 March 2004

**Time:** 3:00 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9

Prior art documents discussed:

none


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*in Claim 9, the typographical error was found. The notation CZ can be H or F should be changed to Z is H or F.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)